

Chapter 6 – Detailed Routing

6 Detailed Routing




Routing
Multi-Stage Routing of Signal Nets
Global Routing
Coarse-grain assignment of routes to routing regions (Chap. 5)
Detailed Routing
Fine-grain assignment of routes to routing tracks (Chap. 6)
Timing-Driven Routing
Net topology optimization and resource allocation to critical nets (Chap. 8)
Large Single-Net Routing
Power (VDD) and Ground (GND) routing (Chap. 3)
Geometric Techniques
Non-Manhattan and clock routing (Chap. 7)

- The objective of detailed routing is to assign route segments of signal nets to specific routing tracks, vias, and metal layers in a manner consistent with given global routes of those nets

- Similar to global routing
  - Use physical wires to do connections
  - Estimating the wire resistance and capacitance, which determines whether the design meets timing requirements
- Detailed routing techniques are applied within routing regions, such as
  - channels (Sec. 6.3), switchboxes (Sec. 6.4) , and global routing cells (Sec. 6.5)
- Detailed routers must account for manufacturing rules and the impact of manufacturing faults (Sec. 6.6)

Detailed Routing

- Detailed Routing Stages
  - Assign routing tracks
  - Perform entire routing – no open connection left
  - Search and repair – resolving all the physical design rules
  - Perform optimizations, e.g. add redundant vias (reduce resistivity, better yield)


Global Routing
Detailed Routing
N_3
N_1
N_2
N_3
N_3
N_1
N_1
N_2
Horizontal Segment
Vertical Segment
Via

Channel and Switchbox Routing



Channel Routing



Two-Layer Channel Routing



Three-Layer OTC Routing
OTC: Over the cell




Columns
a
b
c
d
e
f
g
B 0 B C D B C
Vertical Segment
(Branch)
Horizontal Segment
(Trunk)
Channel
Height
1
2
3
Tracks
A C A B 0 B C
Pin Locations

Horizontal Constraint

- Assumption: <u>one</u> layer for horizontal routing
- A horizontal constraint exists between two nets if their horizontal segments overlap



Vertical Constraint

- A vertical constraint exists between two nets if they have pins in the same column

⇒ The vertical segment coming from the top must "stop" before overlapping with the vertical segment coming from the bottom in the same column



Vertically constrained without conflict



Vertically constrained with a vertical conflict

- The relative positions of nets in a channel routing instance can be modeled by horizontal and vertical constraint graphs

- These graphs are used to
  - initially predict the minimum number of tracks that are required
  - detect potential routing conflicts

6.3.1 Horizontal Constraint Graphs



- Let $S(col)$ denote the set of nets that pass through column *col*
- $S(col)$ contains all nets that either (1) are connected to a pin in column *col* or (2) have pin connections to both the left and right of *col*
- Since horizontal segments cannot overlap, each net in $S(col)$ must be assigned to a different track in column *col*
- $S(col)$ represents the lower bound on the number of tracks in colum *col*; lower bound of the channel height is given by maximum cardinality of any $S(col)$

6.3.1 Horizontal Constraint Graphs



6.3.1 Horizontal Constraint Graphs



$S(a) = \{A\}$

$S(b) = \{A,B,C\}$

$S(c) = \{A,B,C,D,E\}$

$S(d) = \{A,B,C,D,E\}$

$S(e) = \{A,B,D,E\}$

$S(f) = \{A,D,F\}$

$S(g) = \{D,F,G\}$

$S(h) = \{D,G,H\}$

$S(i) = \{D,G,H\}$

$S(j) = \{G,H\}$

$S(k) = \{G\}$



$S(a) = \{A\}$

$S(b) = \{A,B,C\}$

$S(c) = \{A,B,C,D,E\}$

$S(d) = \{A,B,C,D,E\}$

$S(e) = \{A,B,D,E\}$

$S(f) = \{A,D,F\}$

$S(g) = \{D,F,G\}$

$S(h) = \{D,G,H\}$

$S(i) = \{D,G,H\}$

$S(j) = \{G,H\}$

$S(k) = \{G\}$

6.3.1 Horizontal Constraint Graphs







Column	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k
	0	B	D	E	B	F	G	0	D	0	0
	A	C	E	C	E	A	F	H	0	H	G

Lower bound on the number of tracks = 5



6.3.1 Horizontal Constraint Graphs

Column	*a*	*b*	*c*	*d*	*e*	*f*	*g*	*h*	*i*	*j*	*k*
	0	*B*	*D*	*E*	*B*	*F*	*G*	0	*D*	0	0
	A	*C*	*E*	*C*	*E*	*A*	*F*	H	0	*H*	*G*

Graphical Representation





- A directed edge $e(i,j) \in E$ connects nodes i and j if the horizontal segment of net i must be located above net j



6.3.2 Vertical Constraint Graphs

6.3.2 Vertical Constraint Graphs





6.3.2 Vertical Constraint Graphs



6.3.2 Vertical Constraint Graphs



6.3.2 Vertical Constraint Graphs





Vertical Constraint Graph (VCG)

Note: an edge that can be derived by transitivity is not included, such as edge (*B*,*C*)

6.3.2 Vertical Constraint Graphs




0 B D E B F G 0 D 0 0
A C E C E A F H 0 H G


B
D
G
F
C
E
A


0 B D E B F G 0 D 0 0
A C E C E A F H 0 H G


B
D
G
C
E
F
A
H

6.3.2 Vertical Constraint Graphs



6.3.2 Vertical Constraint Graphs



Cyclic conflict

6.3.1 Left-Edge Algorithm

- Based on the VCG and the zone representation, greedily maximizes the usage of each track
  - VCG: assignment order of nets to tracks
  - Zone representation: determines which nets may share the same track

- Each net uses only one horizontal segment (trunk)

Input: channel routing instance *CR*
Output: track assignments for each net

```
curr_track = 1                                  // start with topmost track
nets_unassigned = Netlist
while (nets_unassigned != Ø)                    // while nets still unassigned
    VCG = VCG(CR)                               // generate VCG and zone
    ZR = ZONE_REP(CR)                           //   representation
    SORT(nets_unassigned,start column)          // find left-to-right ordering
                                                //   of all unassigned nets
    for (i =1 to |nets_unassigned|)
        curr_net = nets_unassigned[i]
        if (PARENTS(curr_net) == Ø &&           // if curr_net has no parent
            (TRY_ASSIGN(curr_net,curr_track)))  //   and does not cause
                                                //   conflicts on curr_track,
            ASSIGN(curr_net,curr_track)         //   assign curr_net
            REMOVE(nets_unassigned,curr_net)
    curr_track = curr_track + 1                 // consider next track
```

6.3.1 Left-Edge Algorithm – Example



6.3.1 Left-Edge Algorithm – Example



1. Generate VCG and zone representation









2. Consider next track
3. Find left-to-right ordering of all unassigned nets
   If *curr_net* has no parents and does not cause conflicts on *curr_track* assign *curr_net*

curr_track = 1:	Net *A*	Net *J*

4. Delete placed nets (*A*, *J*) in VCG and zone represenation


0 A D E A F G 0 D I J J
curr_track = 1
2
3
4
5
B C E C E B F H I H G I



2. Consider next track
3. Find left-to-right ordering of all unassigned nets
   If *curr_net* has no parents and does not cause conflicts on *curr_track* assign *curr_net*

curr_track = 2: Net *D*

4. Delete placed nets (*D*) in VCG and zone representation

6.3.1 Left-Edge Algorithm – Example



6.3.1 Left-Edge Algorithm – Example





2. Consider next track
3. Find left-to-right ordering of all unassigned nets
   If *curr_net* has no parents and does not cause conflicts on *curr_track* assign *curr_net*

curr_track = 3: Net *E* Net *G*

4. Delete placed nets (*E*, *G*) in VCG and zone representation

6.3.1 Left-Edge Algorithm – Example







2. Consider next track
3. Find left-to-right ordering of all unassigned nets
   If *curr_net* has no parents and does not cause conflicts on *curr_track* assign *curr_net*

curr_track = 4:	Net *C*	Net *F*	Net *I*

4. Delete placed nets (*C*, *F*, *I*) in VCG and zone representation

6.3.1 Left-Edge Algorithm – Example



6.3.1 Left-Edge Algorithm – Example





2. Consider next track
3. Find left-to-right ordering of all unassigned nets
   If *curr_net* has no parents and does not cause conflicts on *curr_track* assign *curr_net*

curr_track = 5:	Net *B*	Net *H*

4. Delete placed nets (*B*, *H*) in VCG and zone representation

6.3.1 Left-Edge Algorithm – Example



Routing result

6.3.2 Dogleg Routing

- Improving left-edge algorithm by net splitting
- Two advantages:
  - Alleviates conflicts in VCG
  - Number of tracks can often be reduced



Conflict alleviation using a dogleg







Track reduction using a dogleg



- Splitting p-pin nets ($p > 2$) into $p - 1$ horizontal segments
- Net splitting occurs only in columns that contain a pin of the given net
- After net splitting, the algorithm follows the left-edge algorithm



6.3.2 Dogleg Routing



Channel routing problem



VCG without net splitting



Channel routing solution



Net splitting



VCG with net splitting



Channel routing solution



- Fixed dimensions and pin connections on all four sides
- Defined by four vectors *TOP*, *BOT*, *LEFT*, *RIGHT*
- Switchbox routing algorithms are usually derived from (greedy) channel routing algorithms

$R = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 8\} \times \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 7\}$

TOP	= (1, 2, … , 7) = [0, *D*, *F*, *H*, *E*, *C*, *C*]
BOT	= (1, 2, … , 7) = [0, 0, *G*, *H*, *B*, *B*, *H*]
LEFT	= (1, 2, … , 6) = [*A*, 0, *D*, *F*, *G*, 0]
RIGHT	= (1, 2, … , 6) = [*B*, *H*, *A*, *C*, *E*, *C*]





Switchbox Routing – Example

TOP = (1, 2, … , 7) = [0, *D*, *F*, *H*, *E*, *C*, *C*]
BOT = (1, 2, … , 7) = [0, 0, *G*, *H*, *B*, *B*, *H*]
LEFT = (1, 2, … , 6) = [*A*, 0, *D*, *F*, *G*, 0]
RIGHT = (1, 2, … , 6) = [*B*, *H*, *A*, *C*, *E*, *C*]



TOP = (1, 2, … , 7) = [0, *D*, *F*, *H*, *E*, *C*, *C*]
BOT = (1, 2, … , 7) = [0, 0, *G*, *H*, *B*, *B*, *H*]
LEFT = (1, 2, … , 6) = [*A*, 0, *D*, *F*, *G*, 0]
RIGHT = (1, 2, … , 6) = [*B*, *H*, *A*, *C*, *E*, *C*]





- Standard cells are placed back-to-back or without routing channels
- Metal layers are usually represented by a coarse routing grid made up of global routing cells (gcells)



Back to back



Without routing channels

- Standard cells are placed back-to-back or without routing channels
- Metal layers are usually represented by a coarse routing grid made up of global routing cells (gcells)



- Standard cells are placed back-to-back or without routing channels
- Metal layers are usually represented by a coarse routing grid made up of global routing cells (gcells)



6.5 Over-the-Cell Routing Algorithms

- Standard cells are placed back-to-back or without routing channels
- Metal layers are usually represented by a coarse routing grid made up of global routing cells (gcells)
- Layers that are not obstructed by standard cells are typically used for over-the-cell (OTC) routing
- Nets are globally routed using gcells and then detail-routed

Three-layer approach

- Metal3 is used for over-the-cell (OTC) routing



Three-layer approach

- Metal3 is used for over-the-cell (OTC) routing





GND
Standard cell
(only ports shown)
Channel
Metal1
Metal2
Metal3
Ports in Metal2
OTC routing
in Metal3
Channel
routing in
Metal1,
Metal2
and
Metal3
N33
N2

- Manufacturers today use different configurations of metal layers and widths to accommodate high-performance designs
- Detailed routing is becoming more challenging, for example:
  - Vias connecting wires of different widths inevitably block additional routing resources on the layer with the smaller wire pitch
  - Advanced lithography techniques used in manufacturing require stricter enforcement of preferred routing direction on each layer

Representative layer stacks for 130 nm - 32 nm technology nodes



- Semiconductor manufacturing yield is a key concern in detailed routing
  - Redundant vias and wiring segments as backups
    (via doubling and non-tree routing)
  - Manufacturability constraints (design rules) become more restrictive
  - Forbidden pitch rules prohibit routing wires at certain distances apart, but allows smaller or greater spacings

- Detailed routers must account for manufacturing rules and the impact of manufacturing faults
  - Via defects: via doubling during or after detailed routing
  - Interconnect defects: add redundant wires to already routed nets
  - Antenna-induced defects: detailed routers limit the ratio of metal to gate area on each metal layer

Antenna Effect



Source: `http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antenna_effect`

Antenna Effect Fix



Source: `http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Antenna_effect`

Summary of Chapter 6 – Context

- Detailed routing is invoked after global routing
- Usually takes about as much time as global routing
  - For heavily congested designs can take much longer
- Generates specific track assignments for each connection
  - Tries to follow "suggestions" made by global routing, but may alter them if necessary
  - A small number of failed global routed (disconnected, overcapacity) can be tolerated
- More affected by technology & manufacturing constraints than global routing
  - Must satisfy design rules

Summary of Chapter 6 – Routing Regions

- Breaks down the layout area into regions
  - Channels have net terminals (pins) on two sides
  - Switch-boxes have terminals on four sides
  - Channels are joined at switchboxes
- When the number of metal layers is >3, use over-the-cell (OTC) routing
  - Divide the layout region into a grid of global routing cells (gcells)
  - OTC routing makes the locations of cells, obstacles and pins less important
  - Channel and switchbox routing can be used during OTC routing when upper metal layers are blocked (by wide buses, other wires, etc.)
- The capacity of a region is limited by the number of tracks it contains
  - Channels, switchboxes, gcells

Summary of Chapter 6 – Algorithms and Data Structures

- Horizontal and vertical constraint graphs capture constraints that must be satisfied by valid routes

- Simplest algorithms for detailed routing are greedy
  - Every step satisfies immediate constraints with minimal routing cost
  - Use as few bends as possible (doglegs are used when additional bends are needed)
  - Very fast, do a surprisingly good job in many cases
  - Insufficient for congested designs

- Switchbox routing algorithms are usually derived from channel routing algorithms

- Strategy 1: Do not create congested designs and rely on greedy algorithms

- Strategy 2: Accommodate congested designs and develop stronger algorithms

Summary of Chapter 6 – Modern Challenges

- Variable-pitch wire stacks
  - Not addressed in the literature until 2008
- Satisfying more complex design rules
  - Min spacing between wires and devices
  - Forbidden pitch rules
  - Antenna rules
- Soft rules
  - Do not need to be satisfied
  - Can improve yield by decreasing the probability of defects
- Redundant vias
  - In case some vias are poorly manufactured
- Redundant wires
  - In case some wires get disconnected